

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

MONROE DIVISION

DAVID CHRISTOPHER GLASS

CASE NO. 3:19-CV-01216

VERSUS

JUDGE TERRY A. DOUGHTY

KRISTY MASSEY FINLEY, ET AL.

MAG. JUDGE KAREN L. HAYES

MEMORANDUM ORDER

Pending before the Court is an Appeal of Magistrate Judge Decisions [Doc. No. 39], by the Plaintiff, David Christopher Glass. Plaintiff appeals Magistrate Judge Hayes' Memorandum Orders denying Plaintiffs Motion to Disqualify Counsel [Doc. No. 31] and Motions to Strike [Doc. Nos. 35 and 38].

A magistrate judge's non-dispositive pretrial order is reviewable under the clearly erroneous and contrary to law standard. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a). Following a review of the record, the Court finds that the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum Orders are neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to the law.¹ No Response by the defendant is deemed necessary as the arguments made by Plaintiff can be denied on their face. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's appeal [Doc. No. 39] is **DENIED**, and Magistrate Judge Hayes' Memorandum Orders [Doc. No. 31, 35 and 38] are **AFFIRMED**.

MONROE, LOUISIANA, this 2nd day of January, 2020.



TERRY A. DOUGHTY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ Even if the Court were to apply the *de novo* review standard, the Court would reach the same decision.